



# **REGULATIONS**

**on the Rating Evaluation System for Students  
at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National  
Air Force University**



**The Air Force of the  
Armed Forces of Ukraine**

APPROVED

By the decision of the Academic Council  
of Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National  
Air Force University  
Protocol 22.07.2025 № 9

REGULATIONS

on the Rating Evaluation System for Students  
at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University

Enacted by the order of the Commandant  
of the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National  
Air Force University 24.07.2025 № 1057

## CONTENTS

1.	General provisions .....	3
2.	The procedure for organizing and conducting rating evaluation .....	7
3.	Final provisions .....	9

## 1 General provisions

1.1 The Regulations on the Rating Evaluation System for Students at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University (hereinafter – the Regulations) define the concept of rating, as well as the procedures for organizing and conducting the rating assessment of students' academic achievements at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University (hereinafter – the University).

1.2 These Regulations have been developed in accordance with the laws of Ukraine "On Education", "On Higher Education", "On Professional Pre-Higher Education", as well as the "Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University", the "Regulations on the Internal Quality Assurance System of Higher Education at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University", and the "Methodological Recommendations on the Rating Evaluation and Distribution of Graduates of Military Higher Educational Institutions to Initial Officer Positions", approved by the Head of the Main Directorate of Personnel Policy of the Ministry of Defence of Ukraine on October 04, 2002, No. 150/NMTs/379".

1.3 For the purposes of these Regulations, the terms shall be understood as follows:

*rating system of evaluation* – a system of comprehensive evaluation of a student's achievements, based on the operational quantitative determination of the results of their educational, scientific, public, cultural, daily, and other activities, as well as their personal leadership qualities demonstrated during studies at the University;

*student rating* – an integral evaluation of a student's achievements in the educational process, formed on the basis of academic results in mastering educational programs in the relevant specialties and the effectiveness of their work in developing professionally necessary qualities in the specialty (creative, scientific, athletic, and leadership) over a certain period of study;

*cadet rating* – an integral evaluation of a cadet's achievements in the educational process, formed on the basis of academic results in mastering educational programs in the relevant specialties (specializations) aimed at obtaining the corresponding degrees of higher (professional pre-higher, postgraduate) education and/or levels of military education, as well as the effectiveness of their work in developing professionally necessary qualities in the specialty (creative, scientific, athletic, and leadership) over a certain period of study;

*rating list of* – a list of students of an educational program at a certain level, in which the position (ordinal number) of each student is determined by the integral quantitative value of their rating;

*additional score* – a quantitative assessment of students' achievements in various types of activities carried out beyond the scope of the educational program.

It serves as a tool to encourage students to engage in scientific, social, and athletic activities, as well as to develop personal leadership qualities.

1.4 The annual evaluation of a student is one of the tasks of the internal quality assurance system for educational activities and higher education quality. Based on the results of this assessment, appropriate ratings are compiled and the student's individual educational trajectory is adjusted.

1.5 The purpose of implementing the rating assessment of University students is to increase motivation to master knowledge, abilities, and skills, stimulate the formation and comprehensive development of their personality, as well as create a competitive environment among students.

1.6 The main tasks of rating evaluation are:

- determination of the individual rating of each student for the semester (academic year, study period);
- determination of the student rating by educational program for each level of higher education in each specialty (specialization);
- development of the system to stimulate and motivate students for conscious, systematic and persistent mastery of the educational program;
- identification and promotion of students' creative, scientific, athletic, and leadership abilities, and encouragement to participate in educational, scientific, sports, cultural, and other projects (competitions);
- ensuring a competitive environment for the development of individual qualities and collective achievements of students;
- development of ways to improve the mutual communication and interaction of all participants in the educational process for the enhancement of educational and other achievements, taking into account educational needs and adjusting students' educational trajectories;
- increasing the objectivity and transparency of the assessment of students' level of training;
- assessment of the compliance of graduate's professional and individual qualities with the qualification requirements for the chosen position of internship in the armed forces, as well as the distribution of University graduates to positions in the armed forces.

1.7 Increasing the objectivity and transparency of the evaluation of the students' achievements in various activities conducted beyond the scope of the educational program is ensured through the system of awarding additional points.

The system of additional points is a mechanism for taking into account students' individual abilities and achievements outside the educational process. It is aimed at the comprehensive development of the student, enhancing their educational motivation, and fostering additional competencies that extend beyond the educational training program.

The determination of the quantitative value of the obtained additional points is carried out in accordance with the Methodological Recommendations for Determining Student Ratings at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University.

Students must have access to clear and understandable rules for awarding additional points, which specify the number of points awarded for each type of activity (scientific achievements, social activity, cultural, educational, and athletic achievements, etc.).

1.8 Key principles for determining the objective evaluation of additional points:

1.8.1 Transparent evaluation criteria:

standardized rules;

fixed grades for different types of achievements.

1.8.2 Documentary confirmation of achievements in electronic or paper forms:

supporting documents;

verification of the authenticity of the submitted documents by officials (Academic Section of the Faculty).

1.8.3 Equality of conditions for all students:

equal criteria;

non-discrimination.

1.8.4 Evaluation of results:

level and quality of achievement;

differentiation of assessments.

1.8.5 Transparency and accessibility:

access to information on the procedure for awarding points;

students can independently check the number of received points.

1.9 Participants in the formation of the rating are:

students;

academic and teaching staff;

training course supervisors;

faculty management;

1.9.1 The participation of students in the formation of the rating is an important element of ensuring the transparency of this process. They can participate in various stages of rating formation, which include:

providing information on their achievements;

making suggestions for rating criteria;

providing comments and recommendations on the methodology of rating formation;

competing for positions in the rating through one's academic results and activities conducted beyond the scope of the educational program;

using the rating to compare their achievements with those of other students.

1.9.2 Participation of academic and teaching staff in the process of rating evaluation of students is carried out by assessing the degree of achievement of program learning outcomes in a specific educational component, based on the results of semester control and certification of graduates.

1.9.3 The responsibilities of the training course management include:

- informing students about the procedure for forming their individual rating evaluation for the semester, academic year, and study period, in accordance with the established procedure;

- collecting and summarizing data on the levels of relevant student achievements based on the results of scientific and scientific and technical activities, public engagement, cultural and sports achievements, etc.;

- determining the quantitative value of each indicator and criterion of the point-based assessment of students (Annexes 1-3);

- submitting information on the evaluation of indicators and criteria for the point-based assessment of students, based on the results of activities conducted beyond the scope of the educational program, to the Academic Section of the Faculty (Annex 4);

- confirming the results of students' rating assessments for each semester, academic year, and study period.

1.9.4 The responsibilities of the faculty management include:

- systematic collection and summarization of data on educational achievements of students based on the results of semester assessments, as well as evaluation of indicators and criteria for the point-based assessment of students' activities conducted beyond the scope of the educational program;

- calculation of an objective and unbiased assessment according to the components of the student rating;

- review and approval of student rating lists at the end of each semester and academic year (Annex 5) by the academic council of the faculty, with the participation of the heads of graduation departments;

- publication of rating lists in accordance with the procedure established by the University;

- submission of rating lists of graduation courses of the faculty (Annex 6) for consideration by the Academic Council and approval by the Commandant of the University;

- preparation of the results of the rating evaluation of educational achievements for the preliminary allocation of University graduates to positions for further service;

- identification of the reasons and conditions contributing to the high (low) level of students' achievements according to the criteria of rating evaluation, with the submission of relevant proposals.

1.9.5 The Scientific and Methodological Department for Quality Assurance of Educational Activities and Higher Education of the University is responsible for:

- ensuring access to information on indicators, criteria, and rating evaluation procedures for all participants in the educational process;

- monitoring of the results of the rating evaluation of students;

maintaining feedback with participants in the educational process (conducting surveys of students on the availability of information regarding rating evaluation criteria and procedures);

preparing analytical and statistical information for the University command and expert groups during the accreditation procedures of the National Agency for Higher Education Quality Assurance;

supporting and improving the University's rating system for students.

1.10 The results of the rating evaluation serve as the basis for determining priority in:

the selection of candidates for academic scholarships;

participation in international cooperation events;

participation in academic mobility programs;

choosing a position and place of internship (practical training);

selection of a military unit and position for further service in the armed forces;

admissions to master's programs, etc.

The generalized results of the analysis of students' educational achievements serve as a basis for improving the quality of educational activities at the University.

1.11 The results of the rating evaluation of students are determined using software developed at the University.

1.12 Student rating lists for each educational program of the relevant specialties (specializations) of training (within a training group) are reviewed and agreed upon with the University's Authorized Person for the Prevention of Corruption at the end of each semester.

Student rating lists for each educational program of the relevant specialties (specializations) of training (within a training group) are considered by the Academic Council of the Faculty (or the Institute of Civil Aviation) and approved by the Head of the Faculty at the end of each semester (prior to the start of the new semester). For the final-year course, at the request of the faculties, the lists are reviewed by the Academic (Pedagogical) Council of the University and approved by the Commandant of the University.

## **2 The procedure for organizing and conducting rating evaluations**

2.1 The calculation of the rating evaluation of students at the University is carried out in accordance with the Methodological Recommendations for Determining Student Ratings at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University.

2.2 The assessment of educational achievements at the University is performed by determining:

the individual rating score of each student;

the position of a student in the rating list for educational program at each level of higher education and in each specialty (specialization).



2.3 All students at each level of higher education, across all educational programs (specializations) and forms of study implemented at the University, are subject to rating evaluation.

The determination of the rating of flight cadets at the University is carried out according to the current methodology, taking into account statistical indicators based on the results of mastering the theoretical and practical components of the educational program, the results of professional and psychological testing, the outcomes of training on aerobatic simulators, and the fulfillment of the flight training plan.

The rating for students and participants of professional military education courses is determined in accordance with the Methodological Recommendations for Determining Student Ratings at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University, excluding criteria that are not relevant to their activities.

2.4 The rating of a student is compiled in stages during the entire period of study at the University:

- by academic semester;
- by academic year;
- before the start of a military internship;
- before and after the certification of graduates.

2.5 The position of students in the rating list, within each educational program for every level of higher education and specialty (specialization), is determined from the maximum to the minimum score.

2.6 Changes to the rating list are made in the following cases:

when students who, for valid documented reasons (illness, vacation, business trip, family circumstances, etc.), did not pass exams and tests during semester control within the prescribed timeframe, are granted individual deadlines for completing them;

when students who, for valid reasons, did not complete an internship (practice) within the period stipulated by the curriculum, are provided with the opportunity to undergo it in units (military units) that support the educational process, subject to subsequent positive credit, which requires the defense of the internship (practice) report prepared by them.

2.7 The procedure for familiarizing students with their rating prior to its publication consists of providing them the opportunity to review their results, verify their accuracy, and sign to acknowledge having been informed.

2.8 The procedure for contesting the results of a rating evaluation consists of granting students the right to file a complaint with the Head of the Faculty in case of disagreement with the procedure for score calculation (failure to take into account the results of activities conducted outside the scope of the educational program) or other justified reasons.

The complaint shall include:

the reasons for disagreement with the results of the rating evaluation;  
if available, documents or other materials confirming the validity of the claims.

The complaint shall be reviewed by the Head of the Faculty within five working days from the moment of its receipt.

Based on the results of the review, one of the following decisions may be made:

leave the results unchanged;

make changes to the results of the rating evaluation with appropriate justification.

The decision resulting from the complaint review shall be recorded in the protocol of the Academic Council of the Faculty and communicated to the student under signature. An appeal is possible within the limits of the current legislation of Ukraine.

2.9 The compiled student rating lists shall be published in the manner established by the University prior to the start of the new semester.

2.10 Students shall be responsible for the timely submission of supporting documents for their personal achievements to the relevant officials (officials of the course).

2.11 Responsibility for the timely conduct of the rating evaluation and the accuracy of determining the ordinal position of students in accordance with this Regulation shall rest with the heads of faculties.

2.12 Persons found to have falsified reporting data during the compilation of the rating shall be held administratively responsible. Upon discovery of such falsification, the Commandant of the University shall appoint an official investigation.

### **3. Final provisions**

3.1 The Regulation shall be approved by the Academic Council of the University and shall come into effect upon enactment by order of the Commandant of the University.

3.2 Proposals for amendments and additions to the approved Regulations may be submitted by all participants in the educational process of the University.

3.3 Approval and publication of the rating formation procedure shall be carried out no later than a week before the start of the new academic year. No changes shall be made to this procedure during the academic year.

3.4 Amendments and additions to the Regulations shall be considered and approved in the same order as the approval of the Regulations.

Evaluation of indicators and criteria for point-based assessment to determine additional points for scientific and scientific-technical activities

No.	Type of scientific work (activities)	Number of points (for one event)	Type of supporting documents
1	Publication of abstracts of the report	1	A copy of the collection of abstracts of reports, conference programs
	Presentation at the plenary session		
2	Paper presented at a university conference	2	Copies of articles, abstracts of reports, electronic version of the collection
	Scientific publications in non-professional journals		
3	Scientific publications in journals included in the list of professional Ukrainian journals, category “B” or “C”	3	Copies of articles (DOI), conference programmes, issued patents, certificates, diplomas
	Presentation at an international conference held in Ukraine		
	Author (co-author) of the patent for the invention (utility model)**		
4	Participant in the All-Ukrainian Student Research Competition (Olympiad)	4	Copies of certificates, diplomas, conference programs, electronic version of the collection
	Presentation at an international conference held abroad***		
5	Winner of the All-Ukrainian Student Research Competition (1st–3rd place)***	5	Copies of certificates, diplomas, copies of articles (DOI)
	Scientific publications in journals included in the list of professional Ukrainian journals, category “A”		
	Scientific publications in a periodical indexed in the Scopus or Web of Science***		

\* When evaluating scientific publications, both the level of the scientific journals and the student’s contribution to the preparation of the research work are taken into account. The score for a publication in a University collection (professional journal, category “B”) shall be determined proportionally to the number of co-authors (1–3 authors – 3 points; 4 authors – 2 points; 5 authors – 1 point).

\*\* The score for obtaining one national patent shall be determined proportionally to the number of co-authors (1-3 authors – 3 points, 4 authors – 2 points, 5 authors – 1 point).

\*\*\* Points obtained shall be counted within one year from the moment of publication (date of participation).

**Notes:**

1. A double weighting factor shall be applied to the points obtained according to these criteria.
2. Other scientific achievements of the student, not included in the list, may be evaluated by the Department meeting in accordance with the level of scientific work (international, national, regional) within the limits specified in the table.

Evaluation of indicators and criteria for point-based assessment to determine  
additional points for social, cultural, and sports achievements

No.	Type of activity (achievements)	Number of points (for one event)	Type of supporting documents
1	2	3	4
<b>1. Community work:</b>			
1	Participation in the University's career guidance activities	1	Submission by the Head of the Department
2	Activities within the working groups for educational and professional programs, and University educational process support groups	2	Submission by the Head of the Department
3	Activities within the academic council of the faculty (University)	3	Submission by the Deputy Head of the Faculty for Academic and Scientific Affairs
4	Mentorship (volunteer) work in boarding schools	4	Submission by the Deputy Head of the Faculty for Personnel Psychological Support
5	Activity as a member of expert commissions or sectoral expert councils of NAQA	5	Extract from the order
<b>2. Cultural and educational (enlightenment) work:</b>			
6	Participation in creative teams of the University	1	Submission by the Deputy Head of the Faculty for Personnel Psychological Support
7	Winners and prize-winners of regional competitions (University)	2	Copies of certificates and diplomas
8	Participation in national and international competitions	3	Order extract, copies of certificates and diplomas
9	Winners and prize-winners of national competitions (Air Force, Armed Forces of Ukraine)	4	Copies of diplomas, certificates
10	Winners and prize-winners of international competitions*	5	Copies of certificates, diplomas
<b>3. Completion of additional courses in professional training:</b>			
11	At the University	3	Copies of diplomas, certificates
12	In institutions, training centers (HEIs) of Ukraine	4	Copies of diplomas, certificates
13	In institutions, training centers (HEIs) abroad*	5	Copies of diplomas, certificates
<b>4. Performing Duties as an Instructor for Training Armed Forces Personnel (in training centers of the Armed Forces of Ukraine)</b>			
14	At the faculty (department)	1	Submission by the Head of the Department
15	At the University	2	Extract from the order
16	In Air Force training centers of the Armed Forces of Ukraine	3	Extract from the order
17	In General Staff training centers of the Armed Forces of Ukraine	4	Extract from the order
18	In international training centers	5	Extract from the order

1	2	3	4
5. Foreign Language Proficiency Level **:			
19	SLP 1 (A1)	1	Copies of certificates
20	SLP 1+(A2)	2	Copies of certificates
21	SLP 2 (B1)	3	Copies of certificates
22	SLP 2+ translation activities at the University	4	Copies of certificates + Submission by the Head of the Department of Foreign Languages
23	SLP 3 (B2)	5	Copies of certificates + extract from the order
	SLP 2 + international translation activities in NATO partner countries * (C1, C2)		
6. Sports achievements:			
26	Winners and prize-winners of regional sports competitions (at the University)	1	Copies of certificates, diplomas
27	Winners and prize-winners of national sports competitions (Armed Forces of Ukraine, Air Force of the Armed Forces of Ukraine)	2	Copies of certificates, diplomas
28	Candidate for master of sports*	3	Copies of diplomas
29	Master of sports*	4	Copies of diplomas
30	Master of sports of international class*	5	Copies of diplomas
7. Acquired Statuses, Awards, and Military Ranks:			
31	Faculty	1	Copies of service cards, certificates
32	University	2	Copies of service cards, certificates, identification documents, extract from the order
	Obtaining the military rank of “senior soldier”		
33	Air Force of the Armed Forces of Ukraine	3	Copies of certificates, identification documents, an extract from the order
34	General Staff of Armed Forces of Ukraine (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine)	4	Copies of certificates, identification documents, an extract from the order
35	State awards*	5	Copies of certificates, identification documents, an extract from the order
	Combatant status (obtained during studies at the University)		

\* The points obtained according to these criteria are taken into account throughout the entire period of study.

\*\* A double weighting factor is applied to the points obtained according to these criteria.

**Notes:**

1. Other achievements of the students that are not included in the list may be evaluated at the meeting of the department (faculty) in accordance with the level of achievements (international, national, regional), within the limits indicated in the table.

Evaluation of indicators and criteria of point-based assessment to determine  
additional points based on the results of daily activities

No.	Type of activity (achievements)	Number of points	Type of supporting documents
1	2	3	4
<b>1. State of military discipline:</b>			
1	The cadet <b>systematically violates</b> requirements of the Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and orders of commanders, statutory rules of relations between servicemen and military etiquette, <b>has cases of gross violations of</b> military discipline, public order, has disciplinary sanctions, and there are no commendations	0	Personnel file / disciplinary log
2	The cadet generally complies with the requirements of the Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and the orders of commanders, has cases of violations of the statutory rules of relations between servicemen and military etiquette, has cases of non-fulfillment of official duties, systematically breaches the daily routine, and has disciplinary sanctions ( <b>warning of partial non-compliance with service duties</b> , demotion in duty position, or demotion in military rank by one grade), with no commendations.	1	Personnel file / disciplinary log
3	The cadet <b>generally complies with the requirements</b> of the Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and the orders of commanders, but has cases of violations of the statutory rules of relations between servicemen and military etiquette, repeatedly breaches the daily routine, has disciplinary sanctions (severe reprimand), and a few commendations.	2	Personnel file / disciplinary log
4	The cadet <b>complies with the requirements</b> of the Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and the orders of commanders, generally observes the statutory rules of relations between servicemen and military etiquette, has occasional violations of the daily routine, has disciplinary sanctions (remarks, reprimands, deprivation of leave), and holds commendations.	3	Personnel file / disciplinary log
5	The cadet <b>strictly complies with the requirements</b> of the Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and the orders of commanders, observes the statutory rules of relations between servicemen, shows respect to commanders, is polite and adheres to military etiquette, and does not commit negative actions, has minor violations of the daily routine, <b>no disciplinary sanctions</b> , and holds commendations.	4	Personnel file / disciplinary log
6	The cadet <b>strictly complies with the requirements</b> of the Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and the orders of commanders, adheres to the statutory rules of relations between servicemen, strengthens military fellowship, shows respect for commanders and peers, is polite and observes military etiquette, does not commit negative actions and restrains others from them, does not violate the daily routine, <b>has no disciplinary sanctions</b> , and is systematically commended.	5	Personnel file / disciplinary log

1	2	3	4
<b>2. Performance of official duties (military service)*:</b>			
7	The cadet <b>consistently violates the requirements</b> of the Internal, Garrison, and Guard Service Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine, behaves <b>irresponsibly</b> in fulfilling duties during daily watch (garrison and guard service), <b>has cases of gross violations of weapons handling rules</b> , and has instances of disciplinary breaches (sleeping on post, unauthorized absence from duty) or failure to perform duties that could have posed a threat to the safety of the facility or other personnel. The cadet has disciplinary sanctions and no commendations.	0	Personnel file / disciplinary log
8	The cadet <b>generally complies with the requirements</b> of the Internal, Garrison, and Guard Service Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine, shows a <b>mediocre</b> approach to performing duties during daily watch (garrison and guard service), <b>systematically violates</b> internal regulations, has disciplinary sanctions (reprimand, severe reprimand, deprivation of leave), and has no commendations	1	Personnel file / disciplinary log
9	The cadet <b>generally complies with the requirements</b> of the Internal, Garrison, and Guard Service Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine, demonstrates a <b>satisfactory</b> approach to performing duties during daily watch (garrison and guard service), has repeatedly violated internal regulations, <b>has disciplinary sanctions</b> (reprimand, severe reprimand, deprivation of leave), and has a few recorded commendations.	2	Personnel file / disciplinary log
10	The cadet <b>complies with the requirements</b> of the Internal, Garrison, and Guard Service Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine, demonstrates a <b>satisfactory</b> approach to performing duties during daily watch (garrison and guard service), <b>has instances of violations</b> of service rules and internal regulations, has disciplinary sanctions (note, reprimand), and has recorded commendations	3	Personnel file / disciplinary log
11	The cadet <b>complies with the requirements</b> of the Internal, Garrison, and Guard Service Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine, <b>performs duties</b> well during daily watch (garrison and guard service), <b>has minor violations</b> of internal regulations, has no disciplinary sanctions, and has recorded commendations	4	Personnel file / disciplinary log
12	The cadet <b>strictly complies with the requirements</b> of the Internal, Garrison, and Guard Service Statutes of the Armed Forces of Ukraine, <b>demonstrates initiative, discipline, and responsibility while performing duties during daily watch</b> (garrison and guard service), responds promptly to emergency situations, prevents personal violations and restrains others from breaching internal regulations, has no disciplinary sanctions, and is systematically commended	5	Personnel file / disciplinary log

\* Assessment of military personnel for service involves a comprehensive approach, which includes evaluating professional, moral, and psychological qualities, adherence to military discipline, sense of responsibility, and level of training.



1	2	3	4
<b>3. Performance of duties of Junior Commanders:</b>			
13	Temporary performance of commander duties	2	Recommendation of the course commander
14	Section commander*	3	Recommendation of the course commander
	Student Group Leader**		
15	Platoon commanders*	4	Recommendation of the course commander
16	company Sergeant Major*	5	Recommendation of the course commander
17	Demonstration of leadership qualities: The student actively shows initiative, effectively leads the group, enjoys authority, frequently organizes events, demonstrates a leadership stance in challenging situations, takes responsibility for decisions, and actively assists others.	5	Recommendation of the course commander

---

For poor performance of duties by a junior commander, the course leadership may decide **not to award points**.

Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University  
(full name of higher educational institution)

Faculty: \_\_\_\_\_

Course \_\_\_\_\_ Group \_\_\_\_\_

Field of study (specialty) \_\_\_\_\_

202\_\_ – 202\_\_ academic year

**RECORD OF AWARDING ADDITIONAL POINTS № \_\_\_\_\_**

“ \_\_\_\_ ” \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_ year

based on the results of activities carried out beyond the scope of the educational program

(Type of activity (achievements))

for the academic semester

Company commander

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Military rank, surname, and initials of the Company commander)

Course officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Military rank, surname, and initials of the course officer awarding the additional point)

No.	Military rank	Surname, and initials	Number of points											Date	Signature of acknowledgment
			Scientific activity	Community	Cultural and educational	Additional courses	Carrying out instructor duties	Level (SLP)	Sports achievement	Statuses received /awards	Military discipline	Military service	Acting junior commanders		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1.															
2.															
3.															
4.															
5.															

Head of \_\_\_\_\_ Faculty  
(name of the faculty)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

Company commander

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

## THE REVERSE SIDE OF THE INFORMATION

### Notes:

1. The form is used for the initial recording of extracurricular activities of the student. It is maintained in the academic section of the faculty. After the semester assessment, it is returned to the academic section personally by the course commander. The entries of cadets in the record are signed by the head of the faculty.
2. The results of extracurricular activities (achievements) of the education applicant are assessed in accordance with the Regulations on the Rating System for Students at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University, based on clearly defined criteria and indicators.
3. The student confirms the results of semester-awarded additional points by signing in the row next to their surname. The course commander submits to the faculty's academic section the consolidated data of achievements (corresponding grades based on activities carried out beyond the scope of the educational program) of the student, **with documentary evidence for each awarded point, in electronic or paper form.**
4. The record of awarding additional points must be filled in using a blue pen.

APPROVED  
Head of the Faculty

\_\_\_\_\_  
(name of the faculty)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Military rank, signature, first name, and last name)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_

### RATING LIST

faculty cadets \_\_\_\_\_  
(name of the faculty)

educational program: \_\_\_\_\_  
(educational programme title)

intake \_\_\_\_\_ of the year  
(year of intake)

\_\_\_\_\_ study group  
(group number)

Position	Military rank	First name, and last name	Educational components							Basic General Military Training <b>Rating</b> (or Competitive Score <b>Rating</b> )	Implementation of the educational		Educational Program <b>Rating</b>	Additional points		Additional points <b>Rating</b>	<b>Rating</b>	Signature of acknowledgment
			A	B	C	D	E	F	Number of retakes		Educational Component Rating	Graduate Assessment Rating		Extracurricular Activities Rating	Daily Activities Rating			
			100-90	89-80	79-65	64-55	54-50	49-1										
1																		
2																		

Authorized person for anti-corruption activities of the university

\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

Deputy Head of the Faculty for Academic and Research Affairs – Head of the Academic Section

\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

Deputy Head of the Faculty

\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

Deputy Head of the Faculty for Psychological Support of Personnel

\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

APPROVED

Commandant of Ivan Kozhedub

Kharkiv National Air Force University

(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_\_

**GRADUATES' RANKING LIST**

faculty \_\_\_\_\_

(name of the faculty)

educational program: \_\_\_\_\_

(educational programme title)

intake \_\_\_\_\_ of the year

(year of intake)

\_\_\_\_\_ study group

(group number)

Position	Military rank	First name, and last name	Educational components							Basic General Military Training <b>Rating</b> (or Competitive Score <b>Rating</b> )	Implementation of the educational		Educational Program <b>Rating</b>	Additional points		Additional points <b>Rating</b>	<b>Rating</b>	Signature of acknowledgment
			A	B	C	D	E	F	Number of retakes		Educational Component Rating	Graduate Assessment Rating		Extracurricular Activities Rating	Daily Activities Rating			
			100-90	89-80	79-65	64-55	54-50	49-1										
1																		
2																		

Authorized person for anti-corruption activities of the university

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

Deputy Commandant of the University for Academic Affairs

\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)

Deputy Commandant of the Head of the University for the Psychological Faculty \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ the Support of Personnel (name of the faculty)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)\_\_\_\_\_  
(military rank, signature, first name, and last name)